

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/457,847	12/09/1999	TOAN TRINH	7114	8139
27752 7	7590 05/07/2003		11	
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161			EXAMINER	
			MOORE, MARGARET G	
6110 CENTER CINCINNATI,	HILL AVENUE OH 45224		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			· 1					
٧		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7				
•		09/457,847	TRINH ET AL.	TRINH ET AL.				
Office Action Su	mmary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Margaret G. Moore	1712					
The MAILING DATE of t Period for Reply	this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence at	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available und after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If the period for reply specified above is - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extende - Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Status	der the provisions of 37 CFR 1. date of this communication. less than thirty (30) days, a rep, the maximum statutory period diperiod for reply will, by statutan three months after the mailin	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONe, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠ Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on 26	<u>March 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b)□ TI	nis action is non-final.						
closed in accordance v		ance except for formal ma Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.		he merits is				
Disposition of Claims		0 (- 04)-(P P					
4) Claim(s) 1, 12 to 15, 33			ie application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s		wn from consideration.	·					
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
<u> </u>								
		or clastian requirement						
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subj Application Papers	ect to restriction and/t	or election requirement.						
9)☐ The specification is object	cted to by the Examine	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _	•		the Examiner.					
	·	ne drawing(s) be held in abey						
11) The proposed drawing co	orrection filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ d	disapproved by the Examir	ner.				
If approved, corrected dra	awings are required in re	eply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is	s objected to by the Ex	xaminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119	and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made	de of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	None of:							
1. Certified copies or	f the priority documen	ts have been received.						
2. Certified copies or	f the priority documen	ts have been received in A	Application No					
	om the International Bu	ority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). t of the certified copies not		l Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made	of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisiona	al application).				
a) ☐ The translation of th 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made		ovisional application has b						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s)	wing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Informal Patent Application (P					
C. Datast and Tradamark Office								

Application/Control Number: 09/457,847

Art Unit: 1712

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 12 to 15, 33 to 42, 44 to 57 and 59 to 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trinh et al.

In paragraph 10 of the previous office action the Examiner noted that the shape retention polymer, required component (A) in the claimed article of manufacture, was taught by Trinh et al. In paragraph 11 of the previous office action the Examiner noted the obviousness of including instructions with the article of manufacture taught by Trinh et al. Applicants' response states that Trinh et al. do not teach or suggest the article of manufacture as presently claimed, but provides no explanation for this position and fails to specifically traverse the rationale for the position of obviousness taken by the Examiner. As such, this rejection is maintained for reasons of record.

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/457,847

Art Unit: 1712

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1, 12 to 15, 33 to 42, 44 to 57 and 59 to 64 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 to 41 of U.S. Patent No. 6,001,343. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of reasons noted in the previous office action.

Applicants have not provided a Terminal Disclaimer to overcome this rejection and as such it is maintained.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret G. Moore whose telephone number is 703-308-4334. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday and Friday, 10am to 4pm..

Application/Control Number: 09/457,847

Art Unit: 1712

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Dawson can be reached on 703-308-2340. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9311 for regular communications and 703-872-9310 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Margaret G.

Art Unit 1717

mgm May 1, 2003